arXiv:1210.0768v1 [math-ph] 2 Oct 2012

LAPTH-044/12

Bethe vectors of $SU(3)$-invariant integrable models

S. Belliard[a], S. Pakuliak[b], E. Ragoucy[c], N. A. Slavnov[d*]

[a] *Université Montpellier 2, Laboratoire Charles Coulomb,*
UMR 5221, F-34095 Montpellier, France

[b] *Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow reg., Russia,*
Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141700, Dolgoprudny, Moscow reg., Russia,
Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117259 Moscow, Russia

[c] *Laboratoire de Physique Théorique LAPTH, CNRS and Université de Savoie,*
BP 110, 74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France

[d] *Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia*

Abstract

We study $SU(3)$-invariant integrable models solvable by nested algebraic Bethe ansatz. Different formulas are given for the Bethe vectors and the actions of the generators of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ on Bethe vectors are considered. These actions are relevant for the calculation of correlation functions and form factors of local operators of the underlying quantum models.

1 Introduction

The formulation of the quantum inverse scattering method, or algebraic Bethe ansatz (ABA), by the Leningrad's school [1] provides the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the transfer matrix. The last one is the generating function of the conserved quantities of a large family of quantum integrable models. The algebraic structures underlying this method are given by the quantum groups that correspond to deformation of some Lie algebras [2, 3]. The transfer matrix eigenvectors are constructed from the representation theory of the quantum group. In order to construct these eigenvectors one first should prepare **Bethe vectors (BV)**, depending of a set of complex

---

[*] samuel.belliard@univ-montp2.fr, pakuliak@theor.jinr.ru, eric.ragoucy@lapp.in2p3.fr, nslavnov@mi.ras.ru

variables. At that point, some explanation on our terminology may be worth mentioning. When the aforementioned variables are generic complex numbers, these BV (sometimes called off-shell BV in the literature) are "just" vectors of the representation space of the spin chain, with no specific properties with respect to the transfer matrix. When these variables satisfy a special set of coupled equations (Bethe equations), then the corresponding BV becomes an eigenvector of the transfer matrix and is called an **on-shell Bethe vector**. In other words, on-shell BV are just a particular case of BV, for which the variables obey the Bethe equations. Note also that the name BV is prescribed for vectors that becomes transfer matrix eigenvectors when the parameters obey Bethe equations. Thus, BV are not just "any" vector of the representation. BV play important role in the study of form factors and correlation functions of local operators of the underlying quantum models.

In this paper we will consider one of the simplest models that can be solved by the ABA, the $\mathfrak{su}_N$-invariant models. For these models, BV are constructed from the representation theory of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$. In the $N = 2$ case, these models correspond to the XXX Heisenberg spin chain and their solutions, obtained from the ABA, were given in [4]. The first formulation of BV for $\mathfrak{su}_N$-invariant models was given by P. P. Kulish and N. Yu. Reshetikhin in [5] where the Nested algebraic Bethe Ansatz (NABA) was introduced. These vectors are given by recursion on the rank of the algebra and use of the embedding $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_{N-1}) \subset \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$. This formulation is convenient to construct eigenvectors of the transfer matrix since the commutation relations can be formulated with auxiliary space formalism and have a similar form as the original $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ case. Later on, a trace formula for BV was introduced by V. O. Tarasov and N. A. Varchenko [6] who gave the BV in terms of the R matrix and the monodromy matrix $T(u)$ of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$. This formulation was used to construct solution of the quantum q-KZ equation from Jackson integrals. The case of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ superalgebras was done in [7].

In principal, these approaches can be used to find explicit representations for BV in terms of the quantum algebra generators. However, these formulas were not obtained, except the trivial $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case. In the case of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ the BV can be formulated with projection of Drinfel'd currents [8]. The equivalence with the trace formula of BV was shown in [9, 10]. This formalism allows to calculate the NABA as an ordering of the currents [11] and eventually to obtain explicit formulas for BV in terms the generators and to get an integral presentation of their scalar products [12]. One of the goal of this paper is to find explicit representation for BV in the case of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ starting directly from the Yangian algebra. We give explicit and iteration formulas and we connect them to the trace formula [6, 13].

Another goal of this paper is to derive the action of the monodromy matrix entries on BV. This action is very important in the problem of calculation of form factors and correlation functions. For a wide class of quantum integrable models local operators can be expressed in terms of monodromy matrix due to the inverse scattering problem [14, 15]. Then the calculation of their form factors and correlation functions can be reduced to the calculation of scalar products of BV, provided the action of the generators on BV is known.

The paper is organized as follow. Section 2 is devoted to definitions and notations. Section 3, deals with the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ and its highest weight representations (used in the construction of Bethe vectors). We also give in this section some exchange relations between products of $T_{ij}(u)$'s that, to our knowledge were not known. Section 4 contains different formulas for the

BV: we give different iteration and explicit formulas from ordered product of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ generators and show in section 6.1 that they are equivalent to the trace formula introduced in [6, 13]. In section 5 we gives the action of the $T_{ij}(w)$ on the BV in terms of linear combinations of BV. Section 6 and appendices contain the proofs of our different statements.

2 Definitions and notations

We consider the normalized $\mathfrak{su}_3$-invariant R-matrix

$$R(x,y) = \frac{\mathbf{I} + g(x,y)\mathbf{P}}{f(x,y)}, \tag{2.1}$$

with[1] $\mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\sum_{j=1}^{3} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}$, and

$$g(x,y) = \frac{c}{x-y}, \qquad f(x,y) = 1 + g(x,y) = \frac{x-y+c}{x-y}, \tag{2.2}$$

where c is a constant.

The R-matrix (2.1) satisfies Yang–Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(x,y)R_{13}(x,z)R_{23}(y,z) = R_{23}(y,z)R_{13}(x,z)R_{12}(x,y). \tag{2.3}$$

Equation (2.3) holds in the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$. The R-matrix subscripts in (2.3) show the spaces where it acts non-trivially.

Apart from the functions $g(x,y)$ and $f(x,y)$ we also introduce the functions

$$h(x,y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{g(x,y)} = \frac{x-y+c}{c} \quad \text{and} \quad t(x,y) = \frac{g(x,y)}{h(x,y)} = \frac{c^2}{(x-y+c)(x-y)}. \tag{2.4}$$

We always denote sets of variables by bar: $\bar{w}$, $\bar{u}$, $\bar{v}$ etc. Individual elements of the sets are denoted by subscripts and without bar: w_j, u_k, v_ℓ etc. As a rule, the number of elements in the sets is not shown explicitly, however we give these cardinalities in special comments after the formulas. Subsets of variables are denoted by roman subscripts: $\bar{u}_{\rm I}$, $\bar{v}_{\rm II}$, $\bar{w}_{\rm ii}$ etc. For example, the notation $\bar{u} \Rightarrow \{\bar{u}_{\rm I},\ \bar{u}_{\rm II}\}$ means that the set $\bar{u}$ is divided into two disjoint subsets $\bar{u}_{\rm I}$ and $\bar{u}_{\rm II}$. We assume that the elements in every subset are ordered in such a way that the sequence of their subscripts is strictly increasing. We call this ordering natural order. For the union of two sets into another one we note $\{\bar{u}, \bar{w}\} = \bar{\xi}$. Finally we use a special notation $\bar{u}_j$, $\bar{v}_k$ and so on for the sets $\bar{u} \setminus u_j$, $\bar{v} \setminus v_k$ etc.

In order to avoid too cumbersome formulas we use shorthand notations for products of scalar functions. Namely, whenever a scalar function depends on a set of variables, this means that we deal with the product of this scalar function with respect to the corresponding set:

$$\lambda_i(\bar{u}) = \prod_{u_j \in \bar{u}} \lambda_i(u_j); \quad g(x_k, \bar{w}_\ell) = \prod_{\substack{w_j \in \bar{w} \\ w_j \neq w_\ell}} g(x_k, w_j); \quad f(\bar{u}_{\rm II}, \bar{u}_{\rm I}) = \prod_{u_j \in \bar{u}_{\rm II}} \prod_{u_k \in \bar{u}_{\rm I}} f(u_j, u_k). \tag{2.5}$$

---

[1] e_{ij} are the 3×3 elementary matrices.

This notation is also used for the product of non commuting operators

$$T_{ij}(\bar{u}) = \prod_{u_k\in\bar{u}} T_{ij}(u_k) \tag{2.6}$$

in the natural order.

In various formulas the Izergin–Korepin determinant $\mathsf{K}_k(\bar{x}|\bar{y})$ appears. It is defined for two sets $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ with common cardinality $\#\bar{x} = \#\bar{y} = k$:

$$\mathsf{K}_k(\bar{x}|\bar{y}) = \prod_{\ell<m}^{k} g(x_\ell, x_m) g(y_m, y_\ell) \cdot h(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \ \det_k \left[t(x_i, y_j)\right]. \tag{2.7}$$

3 $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ Yangian and its highest weights representation

The R-matrix (2.1) allows to formulate the Yangian commutation relations as a single equality. For such a purpose, the generators are gathered in a (matrix valued) T-operator

$$T(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} e_{ij} \otimes T_{ij}(z) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^3) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)[[z^{-1}]]\,,$$

where the 3×3 matrices e_{ij} span a so-called auxiliary space. The Yangian commutation relation are then expressed as a single relation

$$R_{12}(u,v) T_1(u) T_2(v) = T_2(v) T_1(u) R_{12}(u,v), \tag{3.1}$$

where we used the notation $T_k(z) \in \left(\mathbb{C}^3\right)^{\otimes M} \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ for T-operator acting nontrivially on k-th tensor factor in the product $\left(\mathbb{C}^3\right)^{\otimes M}$ for $1 \le k \le M$.

From the RTT presentation (3.1) it is clear (see e.g. [16]) that

$$\varphi\ :\ \begin{cases} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_N) & \to \quad \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \\ T(u) & \mapsto \quad T^t(-u) \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

defines an isomorphism of the Yangian for any choice of transposition ^{t}. Here, we choose as transposition

$$e^t_{ij} = e_{N+1-j,N+1-i}\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Obviously, the isomorphism φ is idempotent, $\varphi^2 = id$.

From (3.1) we extract the following commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{ij}(u), T_{kl}(v)] &= g(u,v)\Big(T_{kj}(v)\, T_{il}(u) - T_{kj}(u)\, T_{il}(v)\Big) && (3.4)\\ &= g(u,v)\Big(T_{il}(u)\, T_{kj}(v) - T_{il}(v)\, T_{kj}(u)\Big). && (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

These commutation relations imply in particular for multiple products $T_{ij}(\bar{z}) = T_{ij}(z_1)...T_{ij}(z_m)$

$$T_{ij}(\bar{y}) T_{ij}(\bar{x}) = T_{ij}(\bar{x}) T_{ij}(\bar{y}), \quad 1 \le i,j \le 3. \tag{3.6}$$

They also lead to the following property:

Proposition 3.1. *In $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$, we have the following multiple exchange relations, written for arbitrary i, j and k:*

$$T_{ij}(\bar y)T_{kj}(\bar x) = (-1)^{n_x} \sum K_{n_x}(\bar w_{\rm II}|\bar x + c) f(\bar w_{\rm I}, \bar w_{\rm II}) T_{kj}(\bar w_{\rm II}) T_{ij}(\bar w_{\rm I}), \tag{3.7}$$

$$T_{ij}(\bar y)T_{ik}(\bar x) = (-1)^{n_x} \sum K_{n_x}(\bar x|\bar w_{\rm II} + c) f(\bar w_{\rm II}, \bar w_{\rm I}) T_{ik}(\bar w_{\rm II}) T_{ij}(\bar w_{\rm I}). \tag{3.8}$$

Here $\{\bar x, \bar y\} = \bar w$, $\#\bar x = n_x$, $\#\bar y = n_y$ and the sums are taken over partitions $\bar w \Rightarrow \{\bar w_{\rm I}, \bar w_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar w_{\rm II} = n_x$ and $\#\bar w_{\rm I} = n_y$. $\mathsf{K}_{n_x}(\bar x|\bar y)$ is the Izergin–Korepin determinant (2.7)*.*

These formulas have twins

$$T_{ij}(\bar y)T_{kj}(\bar x) = (-1)^{n_y} \sum K_{n_y}(\bar y|\bar w_{\rm I} + c) f(\bar w_{\rm I}, \bar w_{\rm II}) T_{kj}(\bar w_{\rm II}) T_{ij}(\bar w_{\rm I}), \tag{3.9}$$

$$T_{ij}(\bar y)T_{ik}(\bar x) = (-1)^{n_y} \sum K_{n_y}(\bar w_{\rm I}|\bar y + c) f(\bar w_{\rm II}, \bar w_{\rm I}) T_{ik}(\bar w_{\rm II}) T_{ij}(\bar w_{\rm I}). \tag{3.10}$$

The twin formulas follow form (3.7) and (3.8) due to different reductions (A.2) of the Izergin–Korepin determinants $K_{n_x+n_y}(\bar w|\{\bar w_{\rm I}, \bar x + c\})$ and $K_{n_x+n_y}(\{\bar x, \bar w_{\rm I}\}|\bar w + c)$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n_x+n_y}(\bar w|\{\bar w_{\rm I} + c, \bar x + c\}) &= (-1)^{n_y} K_{n_x}(\bar w_{\rm II}|\bar x + c) = (-1)^{n_x} K_{n_y}(\bar y|\bar w_{\rm I} + c), \\ K_{n_x+n_y}(\{\bar x, \bar w_{\rm I}\}|\bar w + c) &= (-1)^{n_y} K_{n_x}(\bar x|\bar w_{\rm II} + c) = (-1)^{n_x} K_{n_y}(\bar w_{\rm I}|\bar y + c). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The commutation relations (3.7) and (3.8) are related by the morphism φ. The proof of (3.7) is given in appendix B.

Remark: When all indices i, j, k are equal, the l.h.s. of (3.7), (3.8) look rather different from its r.h.s.. However, it can be proven through recursion, and replacing the sum over partition by a contour integral, that indeed $\sum K_n(\bar w_{\rm II}|\bar x + c) f(\bar w_{\rm I}, \bar w_{\rm II}) = (-1)^n$ (and similarly for (3.8)), so that l.h.s. and r.h.s. coincide.

Corollary 3.1. *The multiple exchange relations* (3.7) *and* (3.8) *are also valid in $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, for $1 \le i, j, k \le 2$. They provide the multiple exchange relations between the Cartan-like generators $A(x) = T_{11}(x)$, $D(x) = T_{22}(x)$, and the generators $B(x) = T_{12}(x)$, $C(x) = T_{21}(x)$.*

To the best of our knowledge this compact form of multiple commutation relations in the $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ case was not known (see, however, [17, 18] for various forms of the multiple action).

Example To illustrate the formulas above, we consider relation (3.8) in two cases: first we set $n_y = \#\bar y = 1$ while $n_x = \#\bar x$ is arbitrary, then we set $n_x = 1$ keeping n_y free. Making the sums over partitions explicit, we get (we fix for simplicity $i = 1$, $j = 3$ and $k = 2$):

$$T_{13}(y)T_{12}(\bar x) = f(\bar x, y)\, T_{12}(\bar x) T_{13}(y) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_x} g(x_\ell, y) f(\bar x_\ell, x_\ell)\, T_{12}(\{\bar x_\ell, y\})\, T_{13}(x_\ell), \tag{3.12}$$

$$T_{13}(\bar y)T_{12}(x) = f(x, \bar y)\, T_{12}(x) T_{13}(\bar y) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_y} g(x, y_\ell) f(y_\ell, \bar y_\ell)\, T_{12}(y_\ell)\, T_{13}(\{\bar y_\ell, x\}). \tag{3.13}$$

One can easily recognize in these equations the standard formulas of ABA.

4 Bethe vectors

We will construct BV in finite dimensional highest weight representations of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$. A highest weight representation is freely generated by a right highest weight vector $|0\rangle$, itself defined by:

$$T_{ij}(u)\ |0\rangle = 0\,, \quad 1 \le j < i \le 3\,, \quad T_{ii}(u)|0\rangle = \lambda_i(u)\ |0\rangle\,, \quad i = 1,2,3\,. \tag{4.1}$$

Here $\lambda_i(u)$, $i = 1,2,3$ are the weights of the representation.

Note that if $\mathcal{V}\big(\lambda_1(u),...\lambda_j(u),...,\lambda_N(u)\big)$ is a representation of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$, then, the morphism φ maps it to the representation $\mathcal{V}\big(\lambda_N(-u),...\lambda_{N+1-j}(-u),...,\lambda_1(-u)\big)$. Thus φ induces a map between Bethe vectors constructed in different highest weight representations.

We denote BV as $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)$. They depend on two sets of parameters $\bar u$ and $\bar v$ with $\#\bar u = a$ and $\#\bar v = b$. As mentioned in the introduction, for BV, these parameters are generic complex numbers. We will see in section 5.1 that when these parameters obey the Bethe equations, $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)$ becomes a transfer matrix eigenvector, deserving the name on-shell BV for such vector.

4.1 Explicit formulas

An explicit formulation in terms of the generators $T_{12}(u)$, $T_{23}(v)$ and $T_{13}(x)$ can be written for BV. It was firstly obtain from the current presentation of the BV and its properties. The explicit formulas involve summation over partitions of the sets $\bar u$ and $\bar v$:

$$\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v) = \sum \frac{\mathsf{K}_k(\bar v_{\rm I}|\bar u_{\rm I})}{\lambda_2(\bar v_{\rm II})\lambda_2(\bar u)}\, \frac{f(\bar v_{\rm II},\bar v_{\rm I})f(\bar u_{\rm II},\bar u_{\rm I})}{f(\bar v_{\rm II},\bar u)f(\bar v_{\rm I},\bar u_{\rm I})}\, T_{12}(\bar u_{\rm II})T_{13}(\bar u_{\rm I})T_{23}(\bar v_{\rm II})|0\rangle, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v) = \sum \frac{\mathsf{K}_k(\bar v_{\rm I}|\bar u_{\rm I})}{\lambda_2(\bar u_{\rm II})\lambda_2(\bar v)}\, \frac{f(\bar v_{\rm I},\bar v_{\rm II})f(\bar u_{\rm I},\bar u_{\rm II})}{f(\bar v_{\rm I},\bar u_{\rm I})f(\bar v,\bar u_{\rm II})}\, T_{23}(\bar v_{\rm II})T_{13}(\bar v_{\rm I})T_{12}(\bar u_{\rm II})|0\rangle, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v) = \sum \frac{\mathsf{K}_k(\bar v_{\rm I}|\bar u_{\rm I})}{\lambda_2(\bar v_{\rm II})\lambda_2(\bar u)}\, \frac{f(\bar v_{\rm II},\bar v_{\rm I})f(\bar u_{\rm I},\bar u_{\rm II})}{f(\bar v,\bar u)}\, T_{13}(\bar u_{\rm I})T_{12}(\bar u_{\rm II})T_{23}(\bar v_{\rm II})|0\rangle, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v) = \sum \frac{\mathsf{K}_k(\bar v_{\rm I}|\bar u_{\rm I})}{\lambda_2(\bar u_{\rm II})\lambda_2(\bar v)}\, \frac{f(\bar v_{\rm II},\bar v_{\rm I})f(\bar u_{\rm I},\bar u_{\rm II})}{f(\bar v,\bar u)}\, T_{13}(\bar v_{\rm I})T_{23}(\bar v_{\rm II})T_{12}(\bar u_{\rm II})|0\rangle. \tag{4.5}$$

Here the sum is taken over partitions of the sets $\bar u \Rightarrow \{\bar u_{\rm I},\bar u_{\rm II}\}$ and $\bar v \Rightarrow \{\bar v_{\rm I},\ \bar v_{\rm II}\}$ with $0 \le \#\bar u_{\rm I} = \#\bar v_{\rm I} \le \min(a,b)$. We used the notation $k = \#\bar u_{\rm I} = \#\bar v_{\rm I}$. $\mathsf{K}_k(\bar v_{\rm I}|\bar u_{\rm I})$ is the Izergin–Korepin determinant (2.7).

In section 6.1, we show that these explicit formulas are all equivalent. One can already remark, however, that (4.2) and (4.3) (as well as (4.4) and (4.5)) are related by the morphism φ, provided

$$\varphi\big(\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)\big) = \mathbb{B}^{b,a}(-\bar v;-\bar u), \tag{4.6}$$

where $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)$ is constructed in the representation $\mathcal{V}\big(\lambda_1(u),\lambda_2(u),\lambda_3(u)\big)$, while $\mathbb{B}^{b,a}(-\bar v;-\bar u)$ lies in the representation $\mathcal{V}\big(\lambda_3(-u),\lambda_2(-u),\lambda_1(-u)\big)$. The proof of relation (4.6) is done in section 6.5.

4.2 Iteration formulas

Here we give iteration formulas that allow to build BV in a recursive way. There are essentially two iteration formulas for BV, depending on which set, $\bar u$ or $\bar v$, one wishes to make a recursion. The first one reads:

$$\lambda_2(u_k)f(\bar v,u_k)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)=T_{12}(u_k)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b}(\bar u_k;\bar v)+\sum_{i=1}^{b}g(v_i,u_k)f(\bar v_i,v_i)T_{13}(u_k)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar u_k;\bar v_i). \tag{4.7}$$

Here u_k is an arbitrary element from the set $\bar u$. The second recursion has the form

$$\lambda_2(v_k)f(v_k,\bar u)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)=T_{23}(v_k)\mathbb{B}^{a,b-1}(\bar u;\bar v_k)+\sum_{j=1}^{a}g(v_k,u_j)f(u_j,\bar u_j)T_{13}(v_k)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar u_j;\bar v_k), \tag{4.8}$$

where now v_k is an arbitrary element from the set $\bar v$. The initial conditions are given by

$$\lambda_2(\bar v)\mathbb{B}^{0,b}(\bar v)=T_{23}(\bar v)|0\rangle \quad\text{and}\quad \lambda_2(\bar u)\mathbb{B}^{a,0}(\bar u)=T_{12}(\bar u)|0\rangle. \tag{4.9}$$

These formulas can be extracted from the explicit representations (4.2)–(4.5) and trace formula (5.20). Explicit calculation is done in section 6.7.

5 Multiple action of the monodromy matrix on Bethe vectors

In various models form factors of local operators can be reduced to matrix elements of the monodromy matrix entries T_{ij}. In order to calculate such matrix elements one, first of all should evaluate the actions of T_{ij} on BV. In this section we give the list of necessary formulas.

Below everywhere $\{\bar v,\bar w\}=\bar\xi$, $\{\bar u,\bar w\}=\bar\eta$ and $\#\bar w=n$. We also use the notation

$$\mathsf{r}_j(w)=\frac{\lambda_j(w)}{\lambda_2(w)}\,,\qquad j=1,3.$$

- Multiple action of T_{13}

$$T_{13}(\bar w)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)=\lambda_2(\bar w)\,\mathbb{B}^{a+n,b+n}(\bar\eta;\bar\xi). \tag{5.1}$$

- Multiple action of T_{12}

$$T_{12}(\bar w)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)=(-1)^n\lambda_2(\bar w)\sum f(\bar\xi_{\rm II},\bar\xi_{\rm I})\mathsf{K}_n(\bar\xi_{\rm I}|\bar w+c)\,\mathbb{B}^{a+n,b}(\bar\eta;\bar\xi_{\rm II}). \tag{5.2}$$

The sum is taken over partitions of $\bar\xi\Rightarrow\{\bar\xi_{\rm I},\bar\xi_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar\xi_{\rm I}=n$.

- Multiple action of T_{23}

$$T_{23}(\bar w)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)=(-1)^n\lambda_2(\bar w)\sum f(\bar\eta_{\rm I},\bar\eta_{\rm II})\mathsf{K}_n(\bar w|\bar\eta_{\rm I}+c)\,\mathbb{B}^{a,b+n}(\bar\eta_{\rm II};\bar\xi). \tag{5.3}$$

The sum is taken over partitions of $\bar\eta\Rightarrow\{\bar\eta_{\rm I},\bar\eta_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar\eta_{\rm I}=n$.

- Multiple action of T_{22}

$$T_{22}(\bar{w})\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2(\bar{w}) \sum f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}) f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}|\bar{w}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{w}|\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}+c)\, \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}};\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}). \tag{5.4}$$

The sum is taken over partitions of: $\bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}} = n$;
$\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}} = n$.

- Multiple action of T_{11}

$$T_{11}(\bar{w})\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2(\bar{w}) \sum \mathsf{r}_1(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}) \frac{f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}) f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}})}{f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}})} \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}|\bar{w}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}|\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}+c)\, \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}};\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}). \tag{5.5}$$

The sum is taken over partitions of: $\bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}} = n$;
$\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}} = n$.

- Multiple action of T_{33}

$$T_{33}(\bar{w})\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2(\bar{w}) \sum \mathsf{r}_3(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}) \frac{f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}) f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}})}{f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}})} \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{w}|\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}|\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}+c)\, \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}};\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}). \tag{5.6}$$

The sum is taken over partitions of: $\bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}} = n$;
$\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}} = n$.

- Multiple action of T_{21}

$$\begin{aligned} T_{21}(\bar{w})\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = (-1)^n \lambda_2(\bar{w}) \sum \mathsf{r}_1(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}})\, f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}) f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{iii}}) f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{iii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}) \frac{f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}})}{f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}})} \\ \times \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{w}|\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}|\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}|\bar{w}+c)\, \mathbb{B}^{a-n,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{iii}};\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

The sum is taken over partitions of: $\bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{iii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}} = \#\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}} = n$;
$\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}} = n$.

- Multiple action of T_{32}

$$\begin{aligned} T_{32}(\bar{w})\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = (-1)^n \lambda_2(\bar{w}) \sum \mathsf{r}_3(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}})\, f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}) f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{iii}}) f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{iii}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}) \frac{f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}})}{f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}})} \\ \times \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{w}|\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}|\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}|\bar{w}+c)\, \mathbb{B}^{a,b-n}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}};\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{iii}}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

The sum is taken over partitions of: $\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{iii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}} = \#\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}} = n$;
$\bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}} = n$.

- Multiple action of T_{31}

$$\begin{aligned} T_{31}(\bar{w})\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2(\bar{w}) \sum \mathsf{r}_1(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}})\, \mathsf{r}_3(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}})\, \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}|\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}|\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{w}|\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}}+c) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}|\bar{w}+c) \\ \times \frac{f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}) f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{iii}}) f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{iii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}) f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}) f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{iii}}) f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{iii}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}})}{f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}}) f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{iii}}) f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{iii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}})}\, \mathbb{B}^{a-n,b-n}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{iii}};\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{iii}}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The sum is taken over partitions of: $\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{iii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}} = \#\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}} = n$;
$\bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{iii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{i}} = \#\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}} = n$.

Proofs are given in section 6.

5.1 On-shell Bethe vectors and Bethe equations

An on-shell Bethe vector $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v})$ is an eigenvector of the transfer matrix

$$t(w) = \mathrm{Tr}\big(T(w)\big) = T_{11}(w) + T_{22}(w) + T_{33}(w). \tag{5.10}$$

Remark that in the case $n = 1$ the above multiple actions imply

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{11}(w)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) &= -\lambda_2(w) \sum \mathsf{r}_1(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}) \frac{f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II},\bar{\eta}_{\rm I})}{f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II},\bar{\eta}_{\rm I})} \frac{g(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I},w+c)}{h(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm I})} \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II};\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}), && (5.11)\\
T_{22}(w)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) &= \lambda_2(w) \sum f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm II})g(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I},w+c)g(w,\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}+c)\, \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II};\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}), && (5.12)\\
T_{33}(w)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) &= -\lambda_2(w) \sum \mathsf{r}_3(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}) \frac{f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I},\bar{\xi}_{\rm II})f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm II})}{f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm II})} \frac{g(w,\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}+c)}{h(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm I})} \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II};\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}), && (5.13)
\end{aligned}$$

where now sums are taken over partitions of $\{\bar{v},w\} = \bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\rm I},\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}\}$ and $\{\bar{u},w\} = \bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\rm I} = \#\bar{\eta}_{\rm I} = 1$. Making these sums explicit, we get the action of the transfer matrix (5.10) on a Bethe vector:

$$\begin{aligned}
t(w)\,\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \Big(&\lambda_1(w)f(\bar{u},w) + \lambda_2(w)f(w,\bar{u})f(\bar{v},w) + \lambda_3(w)f(w,\bar{v})\Big)\,\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v})\\
&+\lambda_2(w)\, f(\bar{v},w) \sum_{j=1}^{a} g(w,u_j)\Big(\mathsf{r}_1(u_j)\frac{f(\bar{u}_j,u_j)}{f(\bar{v},u_j)} - f(u_j,\bar{u}_j)\Big)\, \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\{\bar{u}_j,w\};\bar{v})\\
&+\lambda_2(w)\, f(w,\bar{u}) \sum_{i=1}^{b} g(w,v_i)\Big(\mathsf{r}_3(v_i)\frac{f(v_i,\bar{v}_i)}{f(v_i,\bar{u})} - f(\bar{v}_i,v_i)\Big)\, \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\{\bar{v}_i,w\}) \qquad (5.14)\\
&+\lambda_2(w) \sum_{i=1}^{b}\sum_{j=1}^{a} g(u_j,v_i)\, \Big\{ g(w,v_i)f(\bar{v}_i,v_i)\Big(\mathsf{r}_1(u_j)\frac{f(\bar{u}_j,u_j)}{f(\bar{v},u_j)} - f(u_j,\bar{u}_j)\Big)\\
&\qquad +g(u_j,w)f(u_j,\bar{u}_j)\Big(\mathsf{r}_3(v_i)\frac{f(v_i,\bar{v}_i)}{f(v_i,\bar{u})} - f(\bar{v}_i,v_i)\Big) \Big\}\, \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\{\bar{u}_j,w\};\{\bar{v}_i,w\}).
\end{aligned}$$

To get the two last lines, we have used $g(w,v_i)g(u_j,w) = g(u_j,v_i)\big(g(w,v_i)+g(u_j,w)\big)$. This shows that $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v})$ is an eigenvector of $t(w)$ if each term of the second and third line in (5.14) identically vanishes. One recovers the Bethe equations for the Bethe roots $\{\bar{u};\bar{v}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{r}_1(u_i)f(\bar{u}_i,u_i) &= f(u_i,\bar{u}_i)f(\bar{v},u_i), && (5.15)\\
\mathsf{r}_3(v_i)f(v_i,\bar{v}_i) &= f(v_i,\bar{u})f(\bar{v}_i,v_i). && (5.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Then the eigenvalue $\Lambda^{a,b}(w;\bar{u};\bar{v})$ of $t(w)$ is given by the first line:

$$\Lambda^{a,b}(w;\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_1(w)f(\bar{u},w) + \lambda_2(w)f(w,\bar{u})f(\bar{v},w) + \lambda_3(w)f(w,\bar{v}). \tag{5.17}$$

Thus, the on-shell BV $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v})$ do are eigenvectors of the transfer matrix.

5.2 Trace formula

For completeness we remind the trace formula given in [6, 13]. Consider the set of variables $(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = (u_1,\dots,u_a;v_1,\dots,v_b)$, the set of auxiliary spaces $\bar{a},\bar{b} = A_1\dots A_a, B_1\dots B_b$ and the operator valued matrix with values in $\big(\mathbb{C}^3\big)^{\otimes^{a+b}} \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$:

$$\mathbb{T}_{\bar{a},\bar{b}}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) \;=\; T_{\bar{a}}(\bar{u})T_{\bar{b}}(\bar{v})\,\mathbb{R}_{\bar{b},\bar{a}}(\bar{v};\bar{u}) \;=\; \mathbb{R}_{\bar{b},\bar{a}}(\bar{v};\bar{u})\,T_{\bar{b}}(\bar{v})\,T_{\bar{a}}(\bar{u})\,, \tag{5.18}$$

with

$$\mathbb{R}_{\bar{b},\bar{a}}(\bar{v};\bar{u}) = \prod_{i=1}^{b}\overleftarrow{\prod_{j=1}^{a}} R_{B_iA_j}(v_i,u_j), \quad T_{\bar{a}}(\bar{u}) = \prod_{i=1}^{a} T_{A_i}(u_i), \quad T_{\bar{b}}(\bar{v}) = \prod_{i=1}^{b} T_{B_i}(v_i)\,. \tag{5.19}$$

The last equality in (5.18) is a direct consequence of the RTT relation (3.1).

The trace formula for BV is given by

$$\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{u})\,\lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{v})\mathrm{tr}_{\bar{a},\bar{b}}\,\big(\mathbb{T}_{\bar{a},\bar{b}}(\bar{u};\bar{v})\,e_{21}^{\otimes a}\otimes e_{32}^{\otimes b}\big)|0\rangle\,. \tag{5.20}$$

This BV is symmetric in the set $\bar{u}$ and symmetric in the set $\bar{v}$ [13].

The normalization of $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v})$ does not correspond to the one used in [6, 13]. To recover the original normalization one has to consider

$$f(\bar{v},\bar{u})\,\lambda_2(\bar{u})\,\lambda_2(\bar{v})\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}). \tag{5.21}$$

6 Proofs

6.1 Equivalence of the different formulas of the BV

We prove that all the explicit formulas are equivalent.

As we have mentioned already the action of the morphism φ relates (4.2) to (4.3), and (4.4) to (4.5). Hence, it remains to prove the equivalence between (4.4) and (4.2). In order to do this we consider

$$G = \sum \mathsf{K}_{n_1}(\bar{v}_{\rm I}|\bar{u}_{\rm I})f(\bar{u}_{\rm I},\bar{u}_{\rm II})T_{13}(\bar{u}_{\rm I})T_{12}(\bar{u}_{\rm II}), \tag{6.1}$$

and substitute here (3.8) with $i=1$, $j=3$, $k=2$, $\bar{y}=\bar{u}_{\rm I}$, $\bar{x}=\bar{u}_{\rm II}$ and $\bar{w}=\bar{u}$. Then

$$G = \sum \mathsf{K}_{n_1}(\bar{v}_{\rm I}|\bar{u}_{\rm I})f(\bar{u}_{\rm I},\bar{u}_{\rm II})(-1)^{n_2}\mathsf{K}_{n_2}(\bar{u}_{\rm II}|\bar{u}_{\rm IV}+c)T_{12}(\bar{u}_{\rm IV})T_{13}(\bar{u}_{\rm III})f(\bar{u}_{\rm IV},\bar{u}_{\rm III}). \tag{6.2}$$

The two type of partitions of the set $\bar{u}$, namely $\bar{u}\Rightarrow\{\bar{u}_{\rm I},\bar{u}_{\rm II}\}$ and $\bar{u}\Rightarrow\{\bar{u}_{\rm III},\bar{u}_{\rm IV}\}$, are independent except that $\#\bar{u}_{\rm I}=\#\bar{u}_{\rm III}=n_1$ and $\#\bar{u}_{\rm II}=\#\bar{u}_{\rm IV}=n_2$. Hence, we can sum up over the partitions $\bar{u}\Rightarrow\{\bar{u}_{\rm I},\bar{u}_{\rm II}\}$ via Lemma A.1. We obtain

$$G = \sum(-1)^{n_1+n_2}f(\bar{v}_{\rm I},\bar{u})\mathsf{K}_{n_1+n_2}(\bar{u}|\bar{v}_{\rm I}+c,\bar{u}_{\rm IV}+c)T_{12}(\bar{u}_{\rm IV})T_{13}(\bar{u}_{\rm III})f(\bar{u}_{\rm IV},\bar{u}_{\rm III}). \tag{6.3}$$

Now we apply (A.1), (A.2). This gives

$$G = \sum f(\bar{v}_{\rm I},\bar{u}_{\rm IV})\mathsf{K}_{n_1}(\bar{v}_{\rm I}|\bar{u}_{\rm III})T_{12}(\bar{u}_{\rm IV})T_{13}(\bar{u}_{\rm III})f(\bar{u}_{\rm IV},\bar{u}_{\rm III}). \tag{6.4}$$

It remains to re-name $\bar{u}_{\rm III}=\bar{u}_{\rm I}$, $\bar{u}_{\rm IV}=\bar{u}_{\rm II}$ and to substitute this result into (4.4) to recover (4.2).

6.2 Action of $T_{13}(\bar{w})$

Consider a BV $\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b+1}(\bar{\eta};\bar{\xi})$ with $\{\bar{u},w\}=\bar{\eta}$ and $\{\bar{v},w\}=\bar{\xi}$. Due to (4.4) we have

$$\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b+1}(\bar{\eta};\bar{\xi})=\sum\frac{\mathsf{K}_k(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}|\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}})}{\lambda_2(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}})\lambda_2(\bar{\eta})}\frac{f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}})f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{II}})}{f(\bar{\xi},\bar{\eta})}T_{13}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}})T_{12}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{II}})T_{23}(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}})|0\rangle. \tag{6.5}$$

The product $f^{-1}(\bar{\xi},\bar{\eta})$ contains vanishing factor $f^{-1}(w,w)$, that can be compensated only by the pole of $\mathsf{K}_k(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}|\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}})$. Therefore we obtain a non-vanishing contribution to the BV only if $w\in\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $w\in\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}}$. Then we can set

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\bar{u}_{\mathrm{I}},w\}=\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}}, \quad \bar{u}_{\mathrm{II}}=\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{II}},\\ &\{\bar{v}_{\mathrm{I}},w\}=\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}, \quad \bar{v}_{\mathrm{II}}=\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Substituting (6.6) into (6.5) and using (A.4) for $\mathsf{K}_k(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}|\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}})$ we immediately arrive at (5.1) with $n=1$. Trivial recursion over $n=\#\bar{w}$ ends the proof.

6.3 Action of $T_{12}(\bar{w})$ and $T_{23}(\bar{w})$

We first prove the formula for $T_{12}(\bar{w})$, starting from the expression (4.2).

Let $\bar{w}$, $\bar{\eta}$, and $\bar{\xi}$ be arbitrary complex numbers with $\#\bar{w}=n$, $\#\bar{\eta}=a+n$, and $\#\bar{\xi}=b+n$. Consider the following combination of Bethe vectors

$$G(\bar{w})=(-1)^n\sum f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}})\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}|\bar{w}+c)\mathbb{B}^{a+n,b}(\bar{\eta};\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}}). \tag{6.7}$$

The sum is taken over partitions $\bar{\xi}\Rightarrow\{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}=n$. Substituting here (4.2) and using also (A.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}G(\bar{w})=\sum(-1)^{n+k}f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}})\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}|\bar{w}+c)\mathsf{K}_k(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}}-c|\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}})f^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\eta})f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}})f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{II}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}})\\ \times\lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{\eta})\,\lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}})T_{12}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{II}})T_{13}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}})T_{23}(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}})|0\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Here the subset $\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}}$ is divided into sub-subsets: $\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}}\Rightarrow\{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}}\}$. The sum is taken with respect to all partitions described above.

Let $\{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}}\}=\bar{\xi}_0$. Then

$$f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{II}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}})f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}})=f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}})f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\xi}_0), \tag{6.9}$$

and substituting this into (6.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}G(\bar{w})=\sum(-1)^{n+k}f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}})\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}|\bar{w}+c)\mathsf{K}_k(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}}-c|\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}})f^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\eta})f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}},\bar{\xi}_0)f(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{II}},\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}})\\ \times\lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{\eta})\,\lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}})T_{12}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{II}})T_{13}(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}})T_{23}(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{ii}})|0\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

The sum over partitions $\bar{\xi}_0\Rightarrow\{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}\}$ can be computed via (A.1), (A.6)

$$\sum_{\bar{\xi}_0=\{\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}\}}f(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}},\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}})\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{I}}|\bar{w}+c)\mathsf{K}_k(\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}}-c|\bar{\xi}_{\mathrm{i}})=(-1)^kf^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_0,\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}})\mathsf{K}_{n+k}(\bar{\xi}_0|\bar{\eta}_{\mathrm{I}},\bar{w}+c). \tag{6.11}$$

Thus, we have

$$G(\bar{w}) = \sum (-1)^n \mathsf{K}_{n+k}(\bar{\xi}_0|\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}, \bar{w}+c) f^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_0, \bar{\eta}_{\rm I}) f^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii}, \bar{\eta}) f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii}, \bar{\xi}_0) f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II}, \bar{\eta}_{\rm I}) \\ \times \lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{\eta})\, \lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii}) T_{12}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II}) T_{13}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}) T_{23}(\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii})|0\rangle. \tag{6.12}$$

The sum is taken over partitions $\bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}, \bar{\eta}_{\rm II}\}$ (as it was from the very beginning) and $\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii}, \bar{\xi}_0\}$.

Up to now $\bar{w}$, $\bar{\eta}$, and $\bar{\xi}$ were arbitrary complex. Let now $\{\bar{u}, \bar{w}\} = \bar{\eta}$ and $\{\bar{v}, \bar{w}\} = \bar{\xi}$. Then $\bar{w} \subset \bar{\xi}_0$, otherwise due to the factor $f^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii}, \bar{\eta})$ we obtain vanishing contribution. Then $\bar{w} \subset \bar{\eta}_{\rm II}$, otherwise due to the factor $f^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_0, \bar{\eta}_{\rm I})$ we again obtain zero. Thus, we can set

$$\begin{aligned} \{\bar{w}, \bar{v}_{\rm I}\} &= \bar{\xi}_0, \qquad & \bar{\xi}_{\rm ii} &= \bar{v}_{\rm II}, \\ \{\bar{w}, \bar{u}_{\rm II}\} &= \bar{\eta}_{\rm II}, \qquad & \bar{\eta}_{\rm I} &= \bar{u}_{\rm I}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Substituting this into (6.12) and using (A.1), (A.2) we arrive at

$$G(\bar{w}) = \sum \mathsf{K}_k(\bar{v}_{\rm I}|\bar{u}_{\rm I}) f^{-1}(\bar{v}_{\rm II}, \bar{u}) f^{-1}(\bar{v}_{\rm I}, \bar{u}_{\rm I}) f(\bar{v}_{\rm II}, \bar{v}_{\rm I}) f(\bar{u}_{\rm II}, \bar{u}_{\rm I}) \\ \times \lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{\eta})\, \lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{v}_{\rm II}) T_{12}(\bar{w}) T_{12}(\bar{u}_{\rm II}) T_{13}(\bar{u}_{\rm I}) T_{23}(\bar{v}_{\rm II})|0\rangle = \lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{w})\, T_{12}(\bar{w}) \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u}; \bar{v}). \tag{6.14}$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$T_{12}(\bar{w}) \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u}; \bar{v}) = (-1)^n \lambda_2(\bar{w}) \sum f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}, \bar{\xi}_{\rm I}) \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}|\bar{w}+c) \mathbb{B}^{a+n,b}(\bar{\eta}; \bar{\xi}_{\rm II}), \tag{6.15}$$

where $\{\bar{u}, \bar{w}\} = \bar{\eta}$ and $\{\bar{v}, \bar{w}\} = \bar{\xi}$.

Applying the morphism φ to the relation (5.2), we obtain (5.3).

6.4 Proof of the iteration formulas

The iteration formulas (4.7), (4.8) immediately follow from the actions (5.2), (5.3). For instance, let us replace $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u}; \bar{v})$ in (5.2) by $\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b}(\bar{u}_k; \bar{v})$, where u_k is an arbitrary element of the set $\bar{u}$. Applying the operator $T_{12}(u_k)$ to this vector via (5.2) we find

$$T_{12}(u_k) \mathbb{B}^{a-1,b}(\bar{u}_k; \bar{v}) = \lambda_2(u_k) \sum f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}, \bar{\xi}_{\rm I}) h^{-1}(u_k, \bar{\xi}_{\rm I})\, \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u}; \bar{\xi}_{\rm II}), \tag{6.16}$$

where $\{\bar{v}, u_k\} = \bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}, \bar{\xi}_{\rm II}\}$ and the subset $\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}$ consists of one element: $\#\bar{\xi}_{\rm I} = 1$. Setting here $\bar{\xi}_{\rm I} = u_k$ we reproduce the l.h.s. of (4.7). Setting $\bar{\xi}_{\rm I} = v_i$, $i = 1, \dots, b$, and using $\lambda_2(u_k) \mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u}; \{\bar{v}_i, u_k\}) = T_{13}(u_k) \mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_k; \bar{v}_i)$ we obtain the sum of terms in the r.h.s. of (4.7).

Similarly the equation (5.3) produces the iteration formula (4.8).

6.5 Proof of the morphism φ on Bethe vectors

We prove it by recursion using the iteration formulas. From the form of $\mathbb{B}^{a0}(\bar{u})$ and $\mathbb{B}^{0b}(\bar{v})$, it is clear that the property is obeyed for these vectors.

Now, application of φ to the iteration formula (4.7) leads to (using the induction hypothesis):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(-u_k) f(\bar v, u_k)\, \varphi\big(\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)\big) &= T_{23}(-u_k)\mathbb{B}^{b,a-1}(-\bar v;-\bar u_k) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{b} g(v_i,u_k) f(\bar v_i, v_i) T_{13}(-u_k)\mathbb{B}^{b-1,a-1}(-\bar v_i;-\bar u_k)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Setting $a'=b$, $b'=a$, $\bar u' = -\bar v$ and $\bar v' = -\bar u$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(u'_k) f(\bar v', u'_k)\, \varphi\big(\mathbb{B}^{b',a'}(-\bar v';-\bar u')\big) &= T_{23}(v'_k)\mathbb{B}^{a',b'-1}(\bar u';\bar v_k) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{a'} g(v'_k,u'_i) f(u'_i, -\bar u'_i) T_{13}(v'_k)\mathbb{B}^{a'-1,b'-1}(\bar u'_i;\bar v'_k), \end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

where we have used $f(-\bar v,-\bar u) = f(\bar u,\bar v)$ and $g(-u_i,-v_k) = g(v_k,u_i)$.

One recognizes in the r.h.s. of (6.18) the iteration formula (4.8) for $\mathbb{B}^{a',b'}(\bar u';\bar v')$.

6.6 Action of T_{22}

The actions of other operators T_{ij} with $i \ge j$ on BV also can be proved by the use of appropriate explicit formula for BV. However the corresponding proofs are quite cumbersome. Instead one can use the recursion (4.7) (resp. (4.8)) and prove the equations (5.4)–(5.9) via induction over a (resp. b). As an example we give the detailed prove of the action (5.4).

We first check that the equation (5.4) is valid for $a=0$ and arbitrary b. Setting $\bar u = \emptyset$ in (5.4) we obtain $\bar\eta_{\rm I} = \bar w$ and $\bar\eta_{\rm II} = \emptyset$. Hence, using $\mathsf{K}_n(\bar w|\bar w + c) = (-1)^n$, we obtain

$$T_{22}(\bar w)\mathbb{B}^{0,b}(\bar v) = \lambda_2(\bar w)(-1)^n \sum f(\bar\xi_{\rm II},\bar\xi_{\rm I})\mathsf{K}_n(\bar\xi_{\rm I}|\bar w + c)\,\mathbb{B}^{0,b}(\bar\xi_{\rm II}). \tag{6.19}$$

On the other hand due to (4.9)

$$\mathbb{B}^{0,b}(\bar v) = \lambda_2^{-1}(\bar v) T_{23}(\bar v)|0\rangle. \tag{6.20}$$

Using (3.8) we reproduce (6.19).

Assuming now that (5.4) holds for $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v)$ with fixed a and $\#\bar w = n = 1$ we prove that the same action is valid for $\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\{\bar u, x\};\bar v)$ using (4.7). It is clear that for this we have to calculate the successive action of the operators $T_{22}(w)T_{12}(x)$ and $T_{22}(w)T_{13}(x)$ on BV.

We first derive the action $T_{22}(w)T_{13}(x)$ on BV of the form $\mathbb{B}^{a,b-1}(\bar u;\bar v)$. Using (3.5) we obtain

$$T_{22}(w)T_{13}(x)\mathbb{B}^{a,b-1}(\bar u;\bar v) = \Big\{T_{13}(x)T_{22}(w) + g(y,x)\Big(T_{23}(w)\,T_{12}(x) - T_{23}(x)\,T_{12}(w)\Big)\Big\}\mathbb{B}^{a,b-1}(\bar u;\bar v). \tag{6.21}$$

The action of $T_{22}(w)$ on $\mathbb{B}^{a,b-1}(\bar u;\bar v)$ is known due to the induction assumption, the actions of T_{13}, T_{12}, and T_{23} are known for arbitrary BV.

Let us compute the action $T_{23}(x)T_{12}(w)$. Using successively (5.2), (5.3) we find

$$\begin{aligned} T_{23}(x)T_{12}(w)\mathbb{B}^{a,b-1}(\bar u;\bar v) = \lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(w) \sum \frac{f(\bar\xi_{\rm II},\bar\xi_{\rm I}) f(\bar\eta_{\rm I},\bar\eta_{\rm II})}{f(x,\bar\xi_{\rm I})} \\ \times \mathsf{K}_1(\bar\xi_{\rm I}|w+c)\mathsf{K}_1(x|\bar\eta_{\rm I}+c)\,\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar\eta_{\rm II};\bar\xi_{\rm II}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Here the sum is taken over partitions of the sets: $\{\bar{u}, w, x\} = \bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}, \bar{\eta}_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar{\eta}_{\rm I} = 1$; $\{\bar{v}, w, x\} = \bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}, \bar{\xi}_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\rm I} = 1$.

The action of $T_{13}(x)T_{22}(w)$ reads

$$T_{13}(x)T_{22}(w)\mathbb{B}^{a,b-1}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(w)\sum \frac{f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm II})}{f(x,\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},x)} \\ \times \mathsf{K}_1(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}|y+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}+c)\,\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II};\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}). \quad (6.23)$$

The notations are the same as in (6.22). It remains to substitute (6.22) and (6.23) into (6.21). It is straightforward to check that we obtain

$$T_{22}(w)T_{13}(x)\mathbb{B}^{a,b-1}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(w)\sum f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm II}) \\ \times \mathsf{K}_1(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}|w+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}+c)\,\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II};\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}). \quad (6.24)$$

Similarly, using

$$T_{22}(w)T_{12}(x) = f(w,x)T_{12}(x)T_{22}(w) + g(w,x)T_{12}(w)T_{22}(x), \quad (6.25)$$

one can find that

$$T_{22}(w)T_{12}(x)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = -\lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(w)\sum f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm II}) \\ \times \mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}+c)\mathsf{K}_2(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}|\{x+c,w+c\})\,\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II};\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}), \quad (6.26)$$

where the sum is taken over partitions: $\{\bar{u}, w, x\} = \bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}, \bar{\eta}_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar{\eta}_{\rm I} = 1$; $\{\bar{v}, w, x\} = \bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}, \bar{\xi}_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\rm I} = 2$.

Now everything is ready for the calculation of the action of $T_{22}(w)$ on BV of the form $\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\{\bar{u},x\};\bar{v})$. It follows from (5.2) at $n=1$ that

$$\lambda_2(x)f(\bar{v},x)T_{22}(w)\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\{\bar{u},x\};\bar{v}) = T_{22}(w)T_{12}(x)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) + G(x,w), \quad (6.27)$$

where

$$G(x,w) = \lambda_2(x){\sum}' f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})\mathsf{K}_1(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}|x+c)T_{22}(w)\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\{\bar{u},x\};\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}). \quad (6.28)$$

Here the symbol ${\sum}'$ means that the sum is taken over partitions of the set $\{\bar{v},x\} = \bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\rm I},\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar{\xi}_{\rm I} = 1$ and the restriction $\bar{\xi}_{\rm I} \ne x$. Observe that in this case the subset $\bar{\xi}_{\rm II}$ is $\{\bar{v}_i, x\} = \bar{\xi}_{\rm II}$, where $i = 1,\dots,b$. Thus, the BV in (6.28) has the form $\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\{\bar{u},x\};\{\bar{v}_i,x\})$, and hence, it can be presented as the result of the action $T_{13}(x)$: $\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\{\bar{u},x\};\{\bar{v}_i,x\}) = \lambda_2^{-1}(x)T_{13}(x)\mathbb{B}^{a,b-1}(\bar{u};\bar{v}_i)$. Therefore we can use (6.24) for the evaluation of the action of T_{22} on such vector. We obtain

$$G(x,w) = \lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(w){\sum}' f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm II},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm II})f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii},\bar{\xi}_{\rm i}) \\ \times \mathsf{K}_1(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}|x+c)\mathsf{K}_1(\bar{\xi}_{\rm i}|w+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar{\eta}_{\rm I})\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm II};\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii}). \quad (6.29)$$

Here there is the sum over additional partitions: the set $\{\bar u, x, w\} = \bar\eta \Rightarrow \{\bar\eta_{\rm I}, \bar\eta_{\rm II}\}$; the set $\{\bar\xi_{\rm II}, w\} \Rightarrow \{\bar\xi_{\rm i}, \bar\xi_{\rm ii}\}$. Thus, the set $\{\bar v, x, w\}$ actually is divided into three subsets: $\{\bar v, x, w\} = \bar\xi \Rightarrow \{\bar\xi_{\rm I}, \bar\xi_{\rm i}, \bar\xi_{\rm ii}\}$ with the conditions $\bar\xi_{\rm I} \ne w$ and $\bar\xi_{\rm I} \ne x$. Hereby $\{\bar\xi_{\rm i}, \bar\xi_{\rm ii}\} \setminus w = \bar\xi_{\rm II}$. Then

$$G(x,w) = \lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(w)\sum{}' \frac{f(\bar\xi_{\rm ii},\bar\xi_{\rm I})f(\bar\xi_{\rm i},\bar\xi_{\rm I})f(\bar\xi_{\rm ii},\bar\xi_{\rm i})f(\bar\eta_{\rm I},\bar\eta_{\rm II})}{f(w,\bar\xi_{\rm I})} \\ \times \mathsf{K}_1(\bar\xi_{\rm I}|x+c)\mathsf{K}_1(\bar\xi_{\rm i}|w+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar\eta_{\rm I})\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar\eta_{\rm II};\bar\xi_{\rm ii}). \tag{6.30}$$

We see that now the condition $\bar\xi_{\rm I} \ne w$ holds automatically due to the factor $f^{-1}(w,\bar\xi_{\rm I})$. In order to get rid of the restriction $\bar\xi_{\rm I} \ne x$ we present $G(x,w)$ as

$$G(x,w) = G_1(x,w) - G_2(x,w), \tag{6.31}$$

where $G_1(x,w)$ is the sum (6.30) without any restriction, and $G_2(x,w)$ is the sum (6.30) at $\bar\xi_{\rm I} = x$. Then we have for $G_1(x,w)$

$$G(x,w) = -\lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(w)\sum \frac{f(\bar\xi_{\rm ii},\bar\xi_0)f(\bar\eta_{\rm I},\bar\eta_{\rm II})}{f(w,\bar\xi_0)}\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar\eta_{\rm I}) \\ \times \mathsf{K}_1(\bar\xi_{\rm I}|x+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar\xi_{\rm i})f(\bar\xi_{\rm i},\bar\xi_{\rm I})\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar\eta_{\rm II};\bar\xi_{\rm ii}), \tag{6.32}$$

where we introduced $\{\bar\xi_{\rm I},\bar\xi_{\rm i}\} = \bar\xi_0$ and used (A.1) for $\mathsf{K}_1(\bar\xi_{\rm i}|w+c)$. Now we can apply Lemma A.1 to the second line of (6.32):

$$\sum \mathsf{K}_1(\bar\xi_{\rm I}|x+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar\xi_{\rm i})f(\bar\xi_{\rm i},\bar\xi_{\rm I}) = -f(w,\bar\xi_0)\mathsf{K}_2(\bar\xi_0|\{x+c,w+c\}). \tag{6.33}$$

Substituting this into (6.32) and comparing with (6.26) we conclude that

$$G_1(x,w) = -T_{22}(w)T_{12}(x)\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar u;\bar v). \tag{6.34}$$

On the other hand setting in (6.30) $\bar\xi_{\rm I} = x$ we obtain

$$G_2(x,w) = -\lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(w)\sum \frac{f(\bar\xi_{\rm ii},x)f(\bar\xi_{\rm i},x)f(\bar\xi_{\rm ii},\bar\xi_{\rm i})f(\bar\eta_{\rm I},\bar\eta_{\rm II})}{f(w,x)} \\ \times \mathsf{K}_1(\bar\xi_{\rm i}|w+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar\eta_{\rm I})\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar\eta_{\rm II};\bar\xi_{\rm ii}), \tag{6.35}$$

where the sum is taken over partitions of the sets: $\{\bar v, w\} = \bar\xi \Rightarrow \{\bar\xi_{\rm i},\bar\xi_{\rm ii}\}$; $\{\bar u, x, w\} = \bar\eta \Rightarrow \{\bar\eta_{\rm I},\bar\eta_{\rm II}\}$. It remains to observe that $f(\bar\xi_{\rm ii},x)f(\bar\xi_{\rm i},x) = f(\bar v,x)f(w,x)$, and we find

$$G_2(x,w) = -\lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(w)f(\bar v,x)\sum f(\bar\xi_{\rm ii},\bar\xi_{\rm i})f(\bar\eta_{\rm I},\bar\eta_{\rm II})\mathsf{K}_1(\bar\xi_{\rm i}|w+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar\eta_{\rm I})\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar\eta_{\rm II};\bar\xi_{\rm ii}). \tag{6.36}$$

Finally substituting (6.36), (6.34), and (6.31) into (6.27) we obtain

$$T_{22}(w)\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\{\bar u,x\};\bar v) = \lambda_2(w)\sum f(\bar\xi_{\rm ii},\bar\xi_{\rm i})f(\bar\eta_{\rm I},\bar\eta_{\rm II})\mathsf{K}_1(\bar\xi_{\rm i}|w+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w|\bar\eta_{\rm I})\mathbb{B}^{a+1,b}(\bar\eta_{\rm II};\bar\xi_{\rm ii}). \tag{6.37}$$

Thus, the step of induction over a is completed, and it remains to prove that the action (5.4) holds for $\#\bar w = n \ge 1$ as well. We again use the induction. Suppose that (5.4) is valid for the multiple action of $T_{22}(\bar{w}_n)$. Then similarly to the calculations described above we obtain for successive action of $T_{22}(\bar{w}_n)$ and $T_{22}(w_n)$

$$T_{22}(\bar{w})\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2(\bar{w}) \sum \frac{f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm i},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii},\bar{\xi}_0)f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm i})f(\bar{\eta}_0,\bar{\eta}_{\rm ii})}{f(w_n,\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},w_n)} \\ \times \mathsf{K}_{n-1}(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}|\bar{w}_n+c)\mathsf{K}_1(\bar{\xi}_{\rm i}|w_n+c)\mathsf{K}_{n-1}(\bar{w}_n|\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w_n|\bar{\eta}_{\rm i}+c)\,\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm ii};\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii}). \tag{6.38}$$

Here we take the sum over partitions: the set $\{\bar{u},\bar{w}\} = \bar{\eta} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm i},\bar{\eta}_{\rm ii}\}$ and $\{\bar{v},\bar{w}\} = \bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\rm I},\bar{\xi}_{\rm i},\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii}\}$. Hereby $\#\bar{\eta}_{\rm i} = \#\bar{\xi}_{\rm i} = 1$ and $\#\bar{\eta}_{\rm I} = \#\bar{\xi}_{\rm I} = n-1$. We also have introduced $\{\bar{\eta}_{\rm i},\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}\} = \bar{\eta}_0$ and $\{\bar{\xi}_{\rm i},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}\} = \bar{\xi}_0$.

Transforming the coefficients K_1 via (A.1) we obtain

$$T_{22}(\bar{w})\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2(\bar{w}) \sum \frac{f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm i},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I})f(\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii},\bar{\xi}_0)f(\bar{\eta}_{\rm I},\bar{\eta}_{\rm i})f(\bar{\eta}_0,\bar{\eta}_{\rm ii})}{f(w_n,\bar{\xi}_0)f(\bar{\eta}_0,w_n)} \\ \times \mathsf{K}_{n-1}(\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}|\bar{w}_n+c)\mathsf{K}_1(w_n|\bar{\xi}_{\rm i})\mathsf{K}_{n-1}(\bar{w}_n|\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}+c)\mathsf{K}_1(\bar{\eta}_{\rm i}|w_n)\,\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{\eta}_{\rm ii};\bar{\xi}_{\rm ii}), \tag{6.39}$$

and we can use (A.6) for the summation over partitions $\bar{\eta}_0 \Rightarrow \{\bar{\eta}_{\rm i},\bar{\eta}_{\rm I}\}$ and $\bar{\xi}_0 \Rightarrow \{\bar{\xi}_{\rm i},\bar{\xi}_{\rm I}\}$. Then we immediately arrive at (5.4) with $\#\bar{w} = n$.

The proofs of (5.5)–(5.9) can be given in the same manner. They are, however, rather cumbersome, therefore we do not give them in order to lighten the presentation. We just remark that the morphism φ allows to obtain (5.6) and (5.8) from (5.5) and (5.7) respectively.

6.7 Equivalence of the iteration formulas with the trace formula

Let us consider the trace formula (5.20). We single out the trace over the first auxiliary space to obtain the iteration formula:

$$\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{u})\lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{v})\mathrm{tr}_{A_1}\Big(T_{A_1}(u_1)\mathrm{tr}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}\big(\mathbb{T}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v})\prod_{l=1}^{b} R_{B_lA_1}(v_l,u_1)\,e_{21}^{\otimes a}\otimes e_{32}^{\otimes b}\big)\Big)\,|0\rangle, \tag{6.40}$$

and factor out the first auxiliary space from the product of R matrices

$$\prod_{i=1}^{b} R_{B_i,A_1}(v_i,u_1)e_{21}^{\otimes a}\otimes e_{32}^{\otimes b} = f^{-1}(\bar{v},u_1)e_{21}^{\otimes a}\otimes e_{32}^{\otimes b} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} g(v_j,u_1)\prod_{l=j}^{b} f^{-1}(v_l,u_1)e_{31}\otimes E_j,$$

with $E_j = e_{21}^{\otimes a-1}\otimes e_{32}^{\otimes j-1}\otimes e_{22}\otimes e_{32}^{\otimes b-j}$. Taking the trace over the first space we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) \;&=\; \lambda_2^{-1}(u_1)f^{-1}(\bar{v},u_1)T_{12}(u_1)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}) \\ &\quad+\lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{u})\lambda_2^{-1}(\bar{v})\sum_{j=1}^{b} g(v_j,u_1)\prod_{l=j}^{b} f^{-1}(v_l,u_1)T_{13}(u_1)X_j,\end{aligned} \tag{6.41}$$

with $X_j = \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}\big(\mathbb{T}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v})\,E_j\big)|0\rangle$. To compute the sum in (6.41), we show in appendix C that X_j obeys the relation

$$X_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{b} g(v_k,v_j)\prod_{l=j+1}^{k-1} f(v_l,v_j)\,X_k = \lambda_2(\bar{u}_1)\lambda_2(\bar{v})\prod_{l=j+1}^{b} f(v_l,v_j)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_j). \tag{6.42}$$

This equation shows that any X_j can be written as a linear combination of the Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_k)$ with $k \geq j$.

If we look for $\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_1)$, we see that it can appear only in X_1. We have

$$X_1 = \lambda_2(\bar{v})\lambda_2(\bar{u}_1)f(\bar{v}_1,v_1)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_1) + ..., \tag{6.43}$$

where dots stands for terms containing $\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_k)$, $k > 1$. It follows that (6.41) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) &= \lambda_2^{-1}(u_1)f^{-1}(\bar{v},u_1)T_{12}(u_1)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}) \\ &\quad+\lambda_2^{-1}(u_1)f^{-1}(\bar{v},u_1)f(\bar{v}_1,v_1)g(v_1,u_1)T_{13}(u_1)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_1) + ..., \end{aligned} \tag{6.44}$$

where dots still stands for terms containing $\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_k)$, $k > 1$.

Since $\mathbb{B}^{a,b}(\bar{u};\bar{v})$ is symmetric in $\bar{v}$, all the other terms must share the same form, and we get (4.7).

Applying the morphism φ to (4.7), one gets (4.8).

Conclusion

In this paper we have computed different explicit expressions for BV, and derived the action of the monodromy matrix entries on them. This is a first step towards the calculation of form factors and correlation functions. Indeed, to compute correlation functions or form factors of some local operator from ABA, one has to reconstruct the local operators with the $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ generators entering the monodromy matrix. The solution of this problem is given in [14, 15] if we consider fundamental representation for each spins. Then, the action of the monodromy matrix on BV (as done in the present paper) reduces the problem to the calculation of scalar products of BV.

In the case of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ or 6-vertex models, the scalar products of two BV has been considered and a formula involving sums of products of two determinants has been found [19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. This scalar product can also be written in terms of a single determinant if one of the BV is on-shell [24, 25]. This single determinant formulation of the scalar product is essential in the explicit calculation of correlation functions and form factors for the related physical models.

In the case of $\mathfrak{su}_3$-invariant models, the structure of the scalar product of two BV was given in [26]. Recently, some progress has be done in the calculation of scalar products for involving on-shell BV [27, 28, 29]. One of the main problem in this activity is to obtain a single determinant representation for a special partition function (PF) introduced by N. Yu. Reshetikhin in [26]. This PF for the $\mathfrak{su}_3$-invariant models has been also considered in recent publication [27, 28] and different representations have been obtained in terms of three determinants. The structure of the different formulas of the PF leads us to conjecture that such single determinant representation hardly exist. Nevertheless, these formulas allow the evaluation of some form factors as a single determinant [29]. The general strategy would then to find directly single determinant expression for other form factors. We hope to come back on this problem in a future work.

Acknowledgements

Work of S.P. was supported in part by RFBR grant 11-01-00962-a, grant of Scientific Foundation of NRU HSE 12-09-0064 and grant of FASI RF 14.740.11.0347. E.R. was supported by ANR Project DIADEMS (Programme Blanc ANR SIMI1 2010-BLAN-0120-02). N.A.S. was supported by the Program of RAS Basic Problems of the Nonlinear Dynamics, RFBR-11-01-00440, RFBR-11-01-12037-ofi-m, SS-4612.2012.1.

A Properties of Izergin–Korepin determinant $\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{x}|\bar{y})$

The following properties of K_n are useful:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{x}-c|\bar{y}) &= \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{x}|\bar{y}+c) = (-1)^n f^{-1}(\bar{y},\bar{x})\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{y}|\bar{x})\,, && \text{(A.1)}\\
\mathsf{K}_{n+1}(\{\bar{x},z-c\}|\{\bar{y},z\}) &= \mathsf{K}_{n+1}(\{\bar{x},z\}|\bar{y},z+c\}) = -\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{x}|\bar{y})\,, && \text{(A.2)}\\
\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{x}|\bar{y}) &= \mathsf{K}_n(-\bar{y}|-\bar{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{K}_1(x|y) = g(x,y), && \text{(A.3)}\\
\mathsf{K}_n(\bar{x}|\bar{y})\Big|_{x_n\to y_n} &= g(x_n,y_n)f(y_n,\bar{y}_n)f(\bar{x}_n,x_n)K_{n-1}(\bar{x}_n|\bar{y}_n) + reg, && \text{(A.4)}
\end{aligned}$$

where reg means the regular part, and we recall that $\bar{x}_n = \bar{x}\setminus x_n$ and $\bar{y}_n = \bar{y}\setminus y_n$.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\bar{\gamma}$, $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ be sets of complex variables with $\#\alpha = m_1$, $\#\beta = m_2$, and $\#\gamma = m_1 + m_2$. Then*

$$\sum \mathsf{K}_{m_1}(\bar{\gamma}_{\rm I}|\bar{\alpha})\mathsf{K}_{m_2}(\bar{\beta}|\bar{\gamma}_{\rm II})f(\bar{\gamma}_{\rm II},\bar{\gamma}_{\rm I}) = (-1)^{m_1}f(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\alpha})\mathsf{K}_{m_1+m_2}(\{\bar{\alpha}-c,\bar{\beta}\}|\bar{\gamma}). \tag{A.5}$$

The sum is taken with respect to all partitions of the set $\bar{\gamma} \Rightarrow \{\bar{\gamma}_{\rm I},\bar{\gamma}_{\rm II}\}$ with $\#\bar{\gamma}_{\rm I} = m_1$ and $\#\bar{\gamma}_{\rm II} = m_2$. Due to (A.1) *the equation* (A.5) *can be also written in the form*

$$\sum \mathsf{K}_{m_1}(\bar{\gamma}_{\rm I}|\bar{\alpha})\mathsf{K}_{m_2}(\bar{\beta}|\bar{\gamma}_{\rm II})f(\bar{\gamma}_{\rm II},\bar{\gamma}_{\rm I}) = (-1)^{m_2}f(\bar{\beta},\bar{\gamma})\mathsf{K}_{m_1+m_2}(\bar{\gamma}|\{\bar{\alpha},\bar{\beta}+c\}). \tag{A.6}$$

The proof of this lemma was given in [29].

B Proofs of multiple exchange relations

The proof of relation (3.8) can be given by the induction over $n_y = \#y$. For simplicity, we fixed $i = 1$, $j = 3$ and $k = 2$, but obviously the calculation can be done whatever they are. We start with $n_x = n_y = 1$.

$$T_{13}(y)T_{12}(x) = f(x,y)T_{12}(x)T_{13}(y) + g(y,x)T_{12}(y)T_{13}(x). \tag{B.1}$$

Then the standard Bethe ansatz considerations allow us to generalize this formula to the case $n_y = 1$, $n_x \geq 1$:

$$T_{13}(y)T_{12}(\bar{x}) = f(\bar{x},y)T_{12}(\bar{x})T_{13}(y) + \sum g(y,\bar{x}_{\rm I})f(\bar{x}_{\rm II},\bar{x}_{\rm I})T_{12}(y)T_{12}(\bar{x}_{\rm II})T_{13}(\bar{x}_{\rm I}), \tag{B.2}$$

Here sum is taken over the partitions $\bar{x} \Rightarrow \{\bar{x}_{\text{I}}, \bar{x}_{\text{II}}\}$ with $\#\bar{x}_{\text{I}} = 1$. Comparing (B.2) with (3.8) at $n_y = 1$ we see that the first term in (B.2) corresponds to the partition $\bar{w}_{\text{I}} = y$, $\bar{w}_{\text{II}} = \bar{x}$, while the second term corresponds to the partitions $\bar{w}_{\text{I}} = \bar{x}_{\text{I}}$, $\bar{w}_{\text{II}} = \{\bar{x}_{\text{II}}, y\}$.

Then we proceed by the induction over $n_y \equiv n$. Due to the induction assumption and (3.10) we have

$$T_{13}(\bar{y})T_{12}(\bar{x}) = (-1)^{n-1}T_{13}(y_n)\sum \mathsf{K}_{n-1}(\bar{w}_{\text{I}}|\bar{y}_n + c)T_{12}(\bar{w}_{\text{II}})T_{13}(\bar{w}_{\text{I}})f(\bar{w}_{\text{II}}, \bar{w}_{\text{I}}), \tag{B.3}$$

where $\{\bar{y}_n, \bar{x}\} = \bar{w} \Rightarrow \{\bar{w}_{\text{I}}, \bar{w}_{\text{II}}\}$ with $\#\bar{w}_{\text{I}} = n-1$, and $\bar{y}_n = \bar{y} \setminus y_n$. Now we should move $T_{13}(y_n)$ to the right, using (3.10) for $n_y = 1$. Then we obtain

$$T_{13}(\bar{y})T_{12}(\bar{x}) = (-1)^{n}\sum \mathsf{K}_{1}(\bar{w}_{\text{ii}}|y_n + c)\mathsf{K}_{n}(\bar{w}_{\text{I}}|\bar{y}_n + c)T_{12}(\bar{w}_{\text{i}})T_{13}(\bar{w}_{\text{ii}})T_{13}(\bar{w}_{\text{I}})f(\bar{w}_{\text{II}}, \bar{w}_{\text{I}})f(\bar{w}_{\text{i}}, \bar{w}_{\text{ii}}), \tag{B.4}$$

where we have additional sum over partitions $\{\bar{w}_{\text{II}}, y_n\} \Rightarrow \{\bar{w}_{\text{i}}, \bar{w}_{\text{ii}}\}$ with $\#\bar{w}_{\text{ii}} = 1$. Now we use

$$\mathsf{K}_1(\bar{w}_{\text{ii}}|y_n + c)f(\bar{w}_{\text{II}}, \bar{w}_{\text{I}}) = -\mathsf{K}_1(y_n|\bar{w}_{\text{ii}})f(\bar{w}_{\text{i}}, \bar{w}_{\text{I}})f(\bar{w}_{\text{ii}}, \bar{w}_{\text{I}})f^{-1}(y_n, \bar{w}_{\text{I}})f^{-1}(y_n, \bar{w}_{\text{ii}}). \tag{B.5}$$

Substituting this into (B.4) and denoting $\{\bar{w}_{\text{ii}}, \bar{w}_{\text{I}}\} = \bar{w}_0$ we obtain

$$T_{13}(\bar{y})T_{12}(\bar{x}) = (-1)^{n+1}\sum \Big[\mathsf{K}_1(y_n|\bar{w}_{\text{ii}})\mathsf{K}_{n-1}(\bar{w}_{\text{I}}|\bar{y}_n + c)f(\bar{w}_{\text{ii}}, \bar{w}_{\text{I}})\Big]T_{12}(\bar{w}_{\text{i}})T_{13}(\bar{w}_0)\frac{f(\bar{w}_{\text{i}}, \bar{w}_0)}{f(y_n, \bar{w}_0)}. \tag{B.6}$$

We had originally only one restriction for the partitions: $y_n \notin \bar{w}_{\text{I}}$. However now we can consider the partitions with $y_n \in \bar{w}_{\text{I}}$ as well, since in this case the factor $f^{-1}(y_n, \bar{w}_0)$ automatically vanishes.

It remains to apply (A.5) to the terms in the squared brackets. It gives

$$T_{13}(\bar{y})T_{12}(\bar{x}) = (-1)^{n}\sum \mathsf{K}_n(\bar{w}_0|\bar{y} + c)T_{12}(\bar{w}_{\text{i}})T_{13}(\bar{w}_0)f(\bar{w}_{\text{i}}, \bar{w}_0). \tag{B.7}$$

Finally, relation (3.8) is obtained from (3.7) thanks to the morphism φ.

C Proof of relation (6.42)

We start with the defining relation for $X_j = \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}\big(\mathbb{T}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v})\,E_j\big)|0\rangle$. Using the RTT algebra we can show that

$$\mathbb{T}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}) = \prod_{l=j+1}^{b} R_{B_lB_j}(v_l, v_j)\mathbb{T}_{\bar{a}_1\bar{b}_j}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_j)T_{B_j}(v_j)\prod_{l=2}^{\overset{a}{\longleftarrow}} R_{B_jA_l}(v_j, u_l)\prod_{l=j+1}^{\overset{b}{\longleftarrow}} R_{B_jB_l}(v_j, v_l). \tag{C.1}$$

The left product of R matrices can be moved to the right using cyclicity of the traces, and then acts trivially on E_j from the right. Then, X_j can be rewritten as

$$X_j = \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}\Big(\mathbb{T}_{\bar{a}_1\bar{b}_j}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_j)T_{B_j}(v_j)\prod_{l=2}^{\overset{a}{\longleftarrow}} R_{B_jA_l}(v_j, u_l)\prod_{l=j+1}^{\overset{b}{\longleftarrow}} R_{B_jB_l}(v_j, v_l)\,E_j\Big). \tag{C.2}$$

As before, we develop the action of R matrices

$$\overleftarrow{\prod_{l=j+1}^{b}} R_{B_jB_l}(v_j,v_l)\,E_j = \prod_{l=j+1}^{b} f^{-1}(v_j,v_l)E_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{b} h^{-1}(v_j,v_k)\prod_{l=j+1}^{k-1} f^{-1}(v_j,v_l)E_k\,. \tag{C.3}$$

The first term allows to trace over the auxiliary space B_j to get $\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_j)$. In the second term we put back $T_{B_j}(v_j)$ in its original position using again (C.1) and the unitary relation $R_{B_jB_i}(v_j,v_i)R_{B_iB_j}(v_i,v_j)=\mathbf{I}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X_j &= \lambda_2(\bar{v})\lambda_2(\bar{u}_1)\prod_{l=j+1}^{b} f^{-1}(v_j,v_l)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_j) \qquad \text{(C.4)}\\ &\quad + \sum_{k=j+1}^{b} h^{-1}(v_j,v_k)\prod_{l=j+1}^{k-1} f^{-1}(v_j,v_l)\mathrm{tr}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}\big(\mathbb{T}_{\bar{a}_1,\bar{b}}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v})\prod_{l=j+1}^{b} R_{B_lB_j}(v_l,v_j)\,E_k\big). \end{aligned}$$

Now we again develop the action of the R matrices on E_k as

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{l=j+1}^{b} R_{B_lB_j}(v_l,v_j)\,E_k &= f^{-1}(v_k,v_j)E_k + h^{-1}(v_k,v_j)\prod_{l=j+1}^{k-1} f^{-1}(v_l,v_j)E_j \qquad \text{(C.5)}\\ &\quad + h^{-1}(v_k,v_j)\sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} h^{-1}(v_i,v_j)\prod_{l=i+1}^{k-1} f^{-1}(v_l,v_j)E_i\,. \end{aligned}$$

It follows after some manipulation with the double sum that

$$G^{(j)}_j\,X_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{b} I_{jk}\,G^{(j)}_k\,X_k \;=\; \lambda_2(\bar{u}_1)\lambda_2(\bar{v})\prod_{l=j+1}^{b} f^{-1}(v_j,v_l)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1;\bar{v}_j), \tag{C.6}$$

with

$$G^{(j)}_k = 1-\sum_{i=k+1}^{b} h^{-1}(v_j,v_i)h^{-1}(v_i,v_j)\prod_{l=k+1}^{i-1} f^{-1}(v_j,v_l)f^{-1}(v_l,v_j), \tag{C.7}$$

$$I_{jk} = -h^{-1}(v_j,v_k)f^{-1}(v_k,v_j)\prod_{i=j+1}^{k-1} f^{-1}(v_j,v_i). \tag{C.8}$$

One then show by recursion on b that

$$G^{(j)}_k = \prod_{l=k+1}^{b} f^{-1}(v_j,v_l)f^{-1}(v_l,v_j). \tag{C.9}$$

The case $b=1$ is trivial. Then, considering $G^{(j)}_k$ as a function of v_b, one can easily see that the two expressions (C.8) and (C.9) have same residues at all poles $v_b = v_\ell \pm c$. Moreover, equality of their limit $v_b \to \infty$ is just the induction hypothesis for $b-1$. Since the two expressions are rational functions of v_b, this proves that they are equal.

Then

$$X_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{b} g(v_k, v_j) \prod_{l=j+1}^{k-1} f(v_l, v_j)\, X_k = \lambda_2(\bar{u}_1)\lambda_2(\bar{v}) \prod_{l=j+1}^{b} f(v_l, v_j)\mathbb{B}^{a-1,b-1}(\bar{u}_1; \bar{v}_j). \quad \text{(C.10)}$$

References

[1] L. D. Faddeev, E. K. Sklyanin, L. A. Takhtajan, *Quantum Inverse Problem. I.*, Theor. Math. Phys. **40** (1979) 688, English transl. Theoret. and Math. Phys. **40** (1980) 688.

[2] M. Jimbo, *A q-difference analogue of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang–Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985) 63.

[3] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **32** (1985) 254.

[4] L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Takhtajan, *Spectrum and scattering of excitations in the one dimensional isotropic Heisenberg model*, J. Sov. Math. **24** (1984) 241.

[5] P. P. Kulish, N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Diagonalization of $GL(N)$ invariant transfer matrices and quantum N-wave system (Lee model)*, J. Phys. A: **16** (1983) L591.

[6] V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *Jackson integrals for the solutions to Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **2** (1995) 275, `arXiv:hep-th/9311040`.

[7] S. Belliard, E. Ragoucy, *The nested Bethe ansatz for "all" closed spin chains*, J. Phys. A **41** (2008) 295202, `arXiv:0804.2822`.

[8] S. Pakuliak, S. Khoroshkin, *The weight function for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_3)$*, Theoret. and Math. Phys. **145** (2005) 1373, `math.QA/0610433`.

[9] S. Khoroshkin, S. Pakuliak, V. Tarasov, *Off-shell Bethe vectors and Drinfeld currents*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007) 1713, `math/0610517`.

[10] S. Khoroshkin, S. Pakuliak. *A computation of universal weight function for quantum affine algebra $U_q(gl_N)$.* J. of Math. of Kyoto Univ. **48** n2 (2008) 277.

[11] L. Frappat, S. Khoroshkin, S. Pakuliak, E. Ragoucy, *Bethe Ansatz for the Universal Weight Function*, Ann. H. Poincarre **10** (2009) 513, `arXiv:0810.3135`.

[12] S. Belliard, S. Pakuliak, E. Ragoucy, *Universal Bethe Ansatz and Scalar Products of Bethe Vectors* , SIGMA **6** (2010) 94, `arXiv:1012.1455`.

[13] V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *Combinatorial formulae for nested Bethe vectors*, (1998), `math.QA/0702277`.

[14] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, V. Terras, *Form factors of the XXZ Heisenberg spin-$1/2$ finite chain*, Nucl. Phys. B **554** (1999) 647, `arXiv:math-ph/9807020`.

[15] J. M. Maillet, V. Terras, *On the quantum inverse scattering problem*, Nucl. Phys. B **575** (2000) 627, `hep-th/9911030`.

[16] A. Molev, M. Nazarov and G. Olshanski, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Survey **51** (1996) 205 and `hep-th/9409025`.

[17] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, V. Terras, *Correlation functions of the XXZ Heisenberg spin-1/2 chain in a magnetic field*, Nucl. Phys. B **567** (2000) 554, `math-ph/9907019`.

[18] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov and V. Terras, *Master equation for spin-spin correlation functions of the XXZ chain*, Nucl. Phys. B **712** (2005) 600, `arXiv:hep-th/0406190`.

[19] V.E. Korepin, *Calculation of norms of Bethe wave functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **86** (1982) 391.

[20] A. G. Izergin, V. E. Korepin, *The quantum inverse scattering method approach to correlation functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **94** (1984), 67.

[21] V. E. Korepin, *Correlation functions of the one-dimensional Bose gas in the repulsive case*, Comm. Math. Phys. **94** (1984) 93.

[22] A. G. Izergin, *Partition function of a six-vertex model in a ffinite volume*, Soviet Phys. Dokl. **32** (1987) 878.

[23] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, A. G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1993).

[24] N. A. Slavnov, *Calculation of scalar products of wave functions and form factors in the framework of the algebraic Bethe ansatz*, Theoret. and Math. Phys. **79** (1989) 502.

[25] N. A. Slavnov, *The algebraic Bethe ansatz and quantum integrable systems*, Russian Math. Surveys **62** (2007) 727.

[26] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Calculation of the norm of Bethe vectors in models with $SU(3)$-symmetry*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI **150** (1986) 196.

[27] M. Wheeler, *Scalar products in generalized models with $SU(3)$-symmetry*, `arXiv:1204.2089`.

[28] S. Belliard, S. Pakuliak, E. Ragoucy, N. A. Slavnov, *Highest coefficient of scalar products in $SU(3)$-invariant models*, JSTAT **09** (2012) P09003, `arXiv:1206.4931`

[29] S. Belliard, S. Pakuliak, E. Ragoucy, N. A. Slavnov, *Algebraic Bethe ansatz for scalar products in SU(3)-invariant integrable models*, JSTAT (2012) to appear, `arXiv:1207.0956`